

10-11 (1983). The complaint does not raise a federal claim. Therefore, the Court must determine whether the Act provides the Court federal question jurisdiction when a complaint alleges only state law warranty claims.

A plaintiff may not defeat removal by artfully pleading a state law claim without referring to necessary federal questions. Id. at 22. A complaint raising only state law claims is subject to removal either when federal law preempts the claim or the claim implicates questions of federal law so important that federal common law displaces state law. Id.

The Act does not preempt state warranty law. Carlson v. General Motors, Corp., 883 F.2d 287, 291 (4th Cir. 1989). In addition, proving the breach of warranty claims does not implicate substantial questions of federal law. Consequently, the Act does not provide federal question jurisdiction over state law warranty claims.

Accordingly, the Court grants the motion to remand. All other pending motions are hereby rendered moot.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.



**C. WESTON HOUCK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

June 20, 2007
Charleston, South Carolina